**Scholarly Project Subcommittee**

***Scholarly Project***

**Assessment framework**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RANZCP ID: | Enter ID Here | Project co-authored? | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Co-author ID: | Enter Co-author ID here | Project number(s): | Enter project no. |
| Title of Scholarly Project: | Enter title here |
| Date of submission: | Enter date here | Submission number: | Enter no. here |
| De-identified local research ethics approval attached? | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No, not required |
| Marker number: | Enter marker no. here |  |

***Markers, please note:***

*The following framework is intended to guide your overall assessment and ensure consistency across markers. There is no requirement for a trainee to achieve a ‘yes’ in each domain to pass the Scholarly Project. Markers should mark each domain to help facilitate their overall assessment recommendation and to provide feedback to trainees.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Relevant to psychiatry
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. The work is pertinent to the theory or practice of psychiatry.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Presentation and content
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. The general layout is clear and professional.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. The content conforms to the guidelines for the type of scholarly project submitted.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Professional English is used with appropriate spelling and grammar.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Length conforms to the guidelines.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Evidence of local research ethics approval is provided where relevant.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Objectives and/or hypotheses
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. There is a clear statement of the objectives of the scholarly project.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Where hypotheses are appropriate to the methodology used, these are well formulated, clearly stated and testable.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Literature review
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. Is sufficiently comprehensive.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Is sufficiently contemporary.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Is sufficiently critical.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. References
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. References are cited and presented in an accepted reference style, eg. Vancouver system.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. All references cited in the text are listed.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. All references listed were cited.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Methodology
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. There is a satisfactory account of, and justification for, the methodology proposed.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. There is a clear and satisfactory account of the type of analysis proposed (if relevant) and justification of the tools.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Results
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. Relevant results were presented appropriately.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Discussion
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. Includes a satisfactory critical review of the methodology and analysis used, including a statement about the limitations of the project.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| * 1. Includes a statement about how the project contributes to the field.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Conclusion
 | **Yes** | **No** | **N/A** |
| * 1. The conclusions drawn from the project were logical.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

All domains are to be articulated at the standard expected at the end of Stage 3.

**Scholarly Project Subcommittee**

***Scholarly Project***

**Assessment recommendation**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate number: | Enter candidate ID here | Project co-authored? | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Title of Scholarly Project: | Enter title here |
| Date of submission: | Enter date here | Submission number: | Enter no. here |
| Marker number: | Enter marker no. here |

Please indicate by ticking or crossing the appropriate box.

**I recommend that the Scholarly Project:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. Be passed without amendment.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Be passed subject to the satisfactory revision, as determined by the Scholarly Project Subcommittee, of the matters listed on the Conditional pass feedback form.*Trainee to submit revised manuscript to the Scholarly Project Subcommittee.* | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Be failed and the trainee invited to resubmit (limit of two resubmissions). | [ ]  | [ ]  |

|  |
| --- |
| **FEEDBACK FOR CHAIR**Click here to enter text |

Signature Date Select date

Scholarly Project conditional pass feedback

*To be completed if awarding a conditional pass (i.e. a pass subject to revisions).*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate number: | Enter candidate ID here | Project co-authored? | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Title of Scholarly Project: | Enter title here |
| Date of submission: | Enter date here | Submission number: | Enter no. here |
| Marker number: | Enter marker no. here |

**This Scholarly Project must be resubmitted with the following matters addressed. Trainees must demonstrate that they have addressed all these matters/issues before a pass can be awarded.**

|  |
| --- |
| *Markers please list below the matters to be addressed. Please refer to the Assessment Framework when providing feedback.*Click here to enter text |

Scholarly Project feedback

*To be completed if the project has failed.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Candidate number: | Enter candidate ID here | Project co-authored? | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Title of Scholarly Project: | Enter title here |
| Date of submission: | Enter date here | Submission number: | Enter no. here |
| Marker number: | Enter marker no. here |

*Markers please note, the following domains have been provided to guide your feedback.*

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Relevant to psychiatry**Click here to enter text |
| **2. Presentation and content**Click here to enter text |
| **3. Objectives and/or hypotheses**Click here to enter text |
| **4. Literature review**Click here to enter text |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. References**Click here to enter text |
| **6. Methodology**Click here to enter text |
| **7. Results**Click here to enter text |
| **8. Discussion**Click here to enter text |
| **9. Conclusion**Click here to enter text |
| **Overall comments**Click here to enter text |